



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,054		Peter Joseph Cassidy	080056-00020	3789

7590

11/15/2003

Kevin L Bastian
Townsend and Townsend and Crew
Two Embarcadero Center 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

EXAMINER

BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1639

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/647,054

Applicant(s)
Cassidy et al

Examiner
Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

Art Unit
1639



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Joel Ackerman

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 5, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed Restriction Requirement mailed 2/21/03 and Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment mailed 10/07/03.

Applicant requested clarification of the required election of a single invention. The examiner stated that the Q groups must be specifically defined to result in a single core ring structure having a specific number of rings and specific size of the rings therein.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

MAURIE G. BAKER, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1639

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required